All Good Things Must Come to an End: The SEC Limits Confidential Submissions by Foreign Private Issuers

Eight-track players – gone.

Sony Betamax – gone.

Vinyl records – gone.

For foreign private issuers, the 6-month deadline for annual reports is gone, along with the ability to effect SEC filings in paper form. And now, also (mostly) gone is the ability to confidentially submit registration statements for review by the SEC.

On December 8, 2011, the SEC’s Division of Corporate Finance announced that it had revised its policies as to the ability of foreign private issuers to effect these confidential submissions.¹

Background

The SEC has historically afforded to foreign private issuers and foreign governments the ability to submit their first-time registration statements (and amendments) on a non-public basis.² These submissions permitted the SEC to review and comment on a first time registrant’s disclosures, and the issuer to respond to SEC comments, before the issuer needed to make a public filing on the EDGAR system.³

This process had several benefits for issuers, including:

- maintaining the confidentiality of their offering process for a longer period⁴;
- and enabling issuers who were new to the U.S. markets to conform their disclosures to U.S. regulatory expectations, without revealing their earlier drafts to the public.

Due to the confidential submission process, a foreign private issuer could abandon its U.S. offering process before the filing became public if, for example, market conditions deteriorated, or the SEC demanded the disclosure of

¹ The SEC’s new policy may be found at the following link: [http://www.sec.gov/divisions/corpfin/internatl/nonpublicsubmissions.htm](http://www.sec.gov/divisions/corpfin/internatl/nonpublicsubmissions.htm).
² This footnote does not include a citation to any SEC rule. Please do not try to find an SEC rule that permitted the confidential submissions; it was an informal policy of the SEC that was not included in any rule. (We know several lawyers who wasted a number of hours trying to find the relevant rules, and we would like you to avoid making the same mistake; we know your time is valuable.)
³ Certain foreign private issuers have not had the benefit of the confidential submission procedure. For example, Canadian issuers filing under the multijurisdictional disclosure system (“MJDS”) have not used this procedure, as the SEC does not typically review these registration statements in any event.
⁴ This benefit did not inure to companies with blabbermouths on their teams, as public reports emerged about their offerings before the completion of the confidential review.
business or financial information that the company regarded as unduly sensitive. In addition, to the joy of the
issuer’s finance department, the issuer need not pay the required SEC filing fee until its first public filing is made.

New Policy

Under the new policy, the SEC will only review initial registration statements on a confidential basis where the
issuer is:

• a foreign government registering its debt securities;
• a foreign private issuer that is listed or is concurrently listing its securities on a non-U.S. securities
  exchange;
• a foreign private issuer that is being privatized by a foreign government; or
• a foreign private issuer that can demonstrate that the public filing of an initial registration statement
  would conflict with the law of an applicable foreign jurisdiction.

In addition, shell companies, blank check companies and issuers with no or substantially no business operations
will not be permitted to use the non-public submission procedure.

The primary beneficiaries of the policy that will most notice the change in policy are foreign private issuers that
are effecting their IPO in the U.S. and do not have a non-U.S. listing.

The SEC indicates that there may be circumstances in which the SEC will request a foreign issuer to publicly file
its registration statement, even though its offering would generally fall within one of the permitted categories set
forth above. As an example, the SEC mentioned the situation of a competing bid in an acquisition transaction or if
there is publicity about a proposed offering or listing.⁵

Effect on Pending Confidential Submissions

If your company has already submitted a registration statement confidentially, and you’re currently waiting for, or
responding to SEC comments – you’d better go and apply for EDGAR access codes.⁶ According to the new policy,
your next filing must be a public filing on the EDGAR system.

Rationale for Policy Change

The SEC has stated that the new policy is intended to “promote transparency and investor protection.”

The SEC indicated that, when the confidential submission policy was initially developed, most foreign private
issuers effecting a U.S. public offering also had or were having their securities traded on a non-U.S. securities
exchange, and the non-U.S. market did not ordinarily have a practice of requiring public disclosure of the
registration statement before the completion of its review. More recently, the SEC indicates, the vast majority of
foreign private issuers using the confidential submission procedure did not and were not contemplating listing
securities outside of the U.S. Accordingly, the SEC no longer believes that there is a useful purpose in offering the
confidential submission procedure to these issuers.

⁵ See footnote 4, supra. Issuers (and their underwriters) who do in fact qualify for the confidential submission procedure may wish to redouble
their efforts to maintain the confidentiality of their offering process because publicity may result in the loss of the qualification.
⁶ See SEC Form ID: http://www.sec.gov/about/forms/formid.pdf.
Future Developments (?)

In its statement, the SEC indicated that it will continue to assess use of the procedure and may make additional changes in the future.

Effect on Foreign Private Issuers Accessing the U.S. Capital Markets

The confidential submission procedure has long been regarded as one of several tools that the SEC had implemented in order to make the U.S. markets more attractive to, and convenient for, foreign issuers. That being said, we do not anticipate that the new policy will reduce the number of foreign issuers that offer their securities in the U.S. To the extent that the U.S. is a desired (or necessary) market for a particular issuer, we do not anticipate that the policy change will impact that issuer's plans.

Initial filings of many new foreign private issuer registration statements will now be public filings. Accordingly, issuers, their lawyers, their underwriters, and their auditors, to the extent they have not already been doing so, should exercise every effort to ensure that the filing is accurate, complete, and responsive to the SEC's rules. Underwriters who are not doing so should substantially complete their due diligence and committee approvals prior to the initial filing.

And of course, a number of new issuers will need to go and obtain some EDGAR codes a bit sooner than expected...
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