

Morrison & Foerster Client Alert

October 2014

Privacy in the Cloud: A Legal Framework for Moving Personal Data to the Cloud

By Christine Lyon and Karin Retzer

For many companies, the main question about cloud computing is no longer whether to move their data to the “cloud,” but how they can accomplish this transition. Cloud (or Internet-based on-demand) computing involves a shift away from reliance on a company’s own local computing resources, in favor of greater reliance on shared servers and data centers. Well-known examples of cloud computing services include Google Apps, Salesforce.com, and Amazon Web Services. In principle, a company also may maintain its own internal “private cloud” without using a third-party provider. Since many companies choose to use third-party cloud providers, however, this article will focus on that cloud computing model.

Cloud computing offerings range from the provision of IT infrastructure alone (servers, storage, and bandwidth) to the provision of complete software-enabled solutions. Cloud computing can offer significant advantages in cost, efficiency, and accessibility of data. The pooling and harnessing of processing power provides companies with flexible and cost-efficient IT systems. At the same time, however, cloud computing arrangements tend to reduce a company’s direct control over the location, transfer, and handling of its data.

The flexibility and easy flow of data that characterize the cloud can raise challenging issues related to protection of data in the cloud. A company’s legal obligations and risks will be shaped by the nature of the data to be moved to the cloud, whether the data involve personal information, trade secret information, customer data, or other competitively sensitive information. This article describes the special legal considerations that apply when moving personal information to the cloud. It also offers a framework to help companies navigate these issues to arrive at a solution that meets their own legal and business needs.

UNITED STATES

California

Tiffany Cheung	(415) 268-6848
Peter Day	(650) 813-4231
Rebekah Kaufman	(415) 268-6148
Christine E. Lyon	(650) 813-5770
David F. McDowell	(213) 892-5383
Purvi G. Patel	(213) 892-5296
Andrew Serwin	(858) 720-5134
William L. Stern	(415) 268-7637
Nancy R. Thomas	(213) 892-5561
David M. Walsh	(213) 892-5262

New York

Cindy Abramson	(212) 336-4178
Melissa Crespo	(212) 336-4354
John F. Delaney	(212) 468-8040
Michael B. Miller	(212) 468-8009
Sotirios Petrovas	(212) 336-4377
Suhna N. Pierce	(212) 336-4150
Marian Waldmann	(212) 336-4230
Miriam H. Wugmeister	(212) 506-7213

Washington, D.C.

Patrick Bernhardt	(202) 887-8771
L. Richard Fischer	(202) 887-1566
Adam J. Fleisher	(202) 887-8781
D. Reed Freeman, Jr.	(202) 887-6948
Libby J. Greismann	(202) 778-1607
Julie O’Neill	(202) 887-8764
Cynthia J. Rich	(202) 778-1652
Andrew M. Smith	(202) 887-1558
Nathan David Taylor	(202) 778-1644

EUROPE

Berlin

Hanno Timmer	49 30 72622-1346
--------------	------------------

Brussels

Joanna Łopatowska	32 2 340 7365
Karin Retzer	32 2 340 7364
Alja Poler De Zwart	32 2 340 7360

London

Ann Bevitt	44 20 7920 4041
Amy Collins	44 20 79204180
Susan McLean	44 20 79204045

ASIA

Beijing

Gabriel Bloch	86 10 5909 3367
Jingxiao Fang	86 10 5909 3382
Paul D. McKenzie	86 10 5909 3366

Hong Kong

Gordon A. Milner	852 2585 0808
------------------	---------------

Singapore

Daniel P. Levison	65 6922 2041
-------------------	--------------

Tokyo

Toshihiro So	81 3 3214 6568
Yukihiro Terazawa	81 3 3214 6585

Client Alert

1. DETERMINE THE CATEGORIES OF PERSONAL INFORMATION TO BE MOVED TO THE CLOUD

As a general principle, personal information includes any information that identifies or can be associated with a specific individual. Some types of personal information involve much greater legal and business risks than other types of personal information. For example, a database containing health information will involve greater risks than a database containing names and business contact information of prospective business leads. Also, financial regulators in many countries require specific security standards for financial information. Accordingly, a cloud computing service that may be sufficient for the business lead data may fail to provide the legally required level of protection for health, financial, or other sensitive types of information.

A company will want to develop a strategy that provides sufficient protection to the most sensitive personal information to be transmitted to the cloud. In some cases, a company may elect to maintain certain types of personal information internally, in order to take advantage of more cost-efficient cloud computing services for its less-sensitive data.

2. IDENTIFY APPLICABLE LAWS AFFECTING YOUR OUTSOURCING OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

Cloud computing, by its nature, can implicate a variety of laws, including privacy laws, data security and breach notification laws, and laws limiting cross-border transfers of personal information.

(a) Privacy Laws

Companies operating in the United States will need to consider whether they are subject to sector-specific privacy laws or regulations, such as the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLBA) or the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA). Such laws impose detailed privacy and data security obligations, and may require more specialized cloud-based offerings.

Europe-based companies, as well as companies working with providers in or with infrastructure in Europe, will need to account for the broad-reaching requirements under local omnibus data protection laws that protect all personal information, even basic details like business contact information. These requirements can include notifying employees, customers, or other individuals about the outsourcing and processing of their data; obligations to consult with works councils before outsourcing employee data; and registering with local data protection authorities. Similar requirements arise under data protection laws of many other countries, including countries throughout Europe, Asia, the Middle East, and the Americas.

(b) Data Security Requirements

Even if a company is not subject to these types of privacy laws, it will want to ensure safeguards for personal information covered by data security and breach notification laws. In the United States, these laws tend to focus on personal information such as social security numbers, driver's license numbers, and credit or debit card or financial account numbers. One of the key safeguards is encryption because many (although not all) of the U.S. state breach notification laws provide an exception for encrypted data.

In contrast, many other countries require protection of all personal information, and do not necessarily provide an exception for encrypted data. Consequently, companies operating outside of the United States may have broader-

Client Alert

reaching obligations to protect all personal information. While data protection obligations vary significantly from law to law, both U.S. and international privacy laws commonly require the following types of safeguards:

- i. Conducting appropriate due diligence on providers;
- ii. Restricting access, use, and disclosure of personal information;
- iii. Establishing technical, organizational, and administrative safeguards;
- iv. Executing legally sufficient contracts with providers; and
- v. Notifying affected individuals (and potentially regulators) of a security breach compromising personal information.

The topic of data security in the cloud has received significant industry attention. Industry groups, such as the Cloud Security Alliance, have suggested voluntary guidelines for improving data security in the cloud. For example, please refer to the CSA's Security Guidelines for Critical Areas of Focus for Cloud Computing, available at <https://cloudsecurityalliance.org/download/security-guidance-for-critical-areas-of-focus-in-cloud-computing-v3/>. In Europe, the Cloud Select Industry Group (CSIG), an industry group sponsored by the European Commission, recently issued the Cloud Service Level Agreement Standardization Guidelines, available at <http://ec.europa.eu/digital-agenda/en/news/cloud-service-level-agreement-standardisation-guidelines>. The Guidelines recommend contractual stipulations covering (1) business continuity, disaster recovery, and data loss prevention controls; (2) authentication/authorization controls, including access provision/revocation, and access storage protection; (3) encryption controls; (4) security incident management and reporting controls and metrics; (5) logging and monitoring parameters and log retention periods; (6) auditing and security certification; (7) vulnerability management metrics; and (8) security governance metrics. Providers also may choose to be certified under standards such as ISO 27001, although such certifications may not address all applicable legal requirements.

(c) Restrictions on Cross-Border Data Transfers

A number of countries—e.g., all the European Economic Area (EEA) Member States and certain neighboring countries (including Albania, the Channel Islands, Croatia, the Faroe Islands, the Isle of Man, Macedonia, Russia, and Switzerland), as well as countries in North Africa (e.g., Morocco), the Middle East (e.g., Israel), Latin America (e.g., Argentina and Uruguay), and Asia (e.g., South Korea)—restrict the transfer or sharing of personal information beyond their borders. These restrictions can present significant challenges for multinational companies seeking to move their data to the cloud. Recognizing these challenges, some providers are starting to offer geographic-specific clouds, in which the data are maintained within a given country or jurisdiction. Some U.S. providers have also certified to the U.S.-European Union Safe Harbor program, in order to accommodate EU-based customers. However, as the Safe Harbor only permits transfers from the EU to the United States, it is not a global solution. Accordingly, a company should assess carefully whether the options offered by a provider are sufficient to meet the company's own legal obligations in the countries where it operates.

To complicate matters, international data protection authorities, particularly in the EEA, have expressed concerns about use of the cloud model for personal information. The Working Party 29 (WP29), the assembly of EEA data protection authorities, and many other local EEA authorities have issued guidance about cloud computing, covering

Client Alert

purpose and transfer restrictions, notification requirements, mandatory security requirements, and the content of the contract to be concluded with cloud providers. This guidance includes the WP29 Opinion 05/2012 on Cloud Computing, which is discussed further below. The draft Data Protection regulation currently discussed among the EEA Member States reflects such guidance and should be accounted for prior to engaging cloud providers.

3. REVIEW CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AFFECTING YOUR OUTSOURCING OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

If your company is seeking to outsource to a cloud provider applications that involve third-party data, such as personal information maintained on behalf of customers or business partners, it is important to consider any limitations imposed by contracts with those third parties. Such agreements might require third-party consent to the outsourcing or subcontracting of data processing activities, or may require your company to impose specific contractual obligations on the new provider or subcontractor.

4. SELECT AN APPROPRIATE CLOUD COMPUTING SOLUTION

Cloud services tend to be offered on a take-it-or-leave-it basis, with little opportunity to negotiate additional contractual protections or customized terms of service. As a result, companies may find themselves unable to negotiate the types of privacy and data security protections that they typically include in contracts with other service providers. Companies will need to evaluate whether the contract fulfills their applicable legal and contractual obligations, as discussed above. Beyond that, companies will want to evaluate the practical level of risk to their data, and what steps they might take to reduce those risks.

(a) Public vs. Private Cloud

Broadly speaking, a private cloud maintains the data on equipment that is owned, leased, or otherwise controlled by the provider. Private cloud models can be compared with many other well-established forms of IT outsourcing and do not tend to raise the same level of concerns as a public cloud model.

A public cloud model disperses data more broadly across computers and networks of unrelated third parties, which might include business competitors or individual consumers. While offering maximum flexibility and expansion capabilities, the public cloud model raises heightened concerns about the inability to know who holds your company's data, the lack of oversight over those parties, and the absence of standardized data security practices on the hosting equipment. Given these challenges, companies outsourcing personal information will want to understand whether the proposed service involves a private or public cloud, as well as evaluate what contractual commitments the provider is willing to make about data security.

(b) Securing Data Before Transmission to the Cloud

Companies also may be able to take measures themselves to protect personal information before it is transmitted to the cloud. Some provider agreements instruct or require customers to encrypt their data before uploading the data to the cloud, for example. If it is feasible to encrypt the data prior to transmission to the provider, this may provide substantial additional protections, as long as the encryption keys are not available to the provider.

It is also important to account for applicable security requirements. To this effect, several countries in Europe have very specific statutory requirements for security measures, and some regulators have issued detailed security standards for cloud computing providers. Pursuant to the WP29 Opinion 05/2012, all contracts should include

Client Alert

security measures in accordance with EU data protection laws, including requirements for cloud providers on technical and organizational security measures, access controls, disclosure of data to third parties, cooperation with the cloud client, details on cross-border transfer of data, logging, and auditing processing. The recent guidelines from the CSIG recommends the inclusion of the following provisions in processing agreements: (1) standards or certification mechanisms the cloud service provider complies with; (2) precise description of purposes of processing; (3) clear provisions regarding retention and erasure of data; (4) reference to instances of disclosure of personal data to law enforcement and notification to the customer of such disclosures; (5) a full list of subcontractors involved in the processing and inclusion of a right of the customer to object to changes to the list, with special attention to requirements for processing of special or sensitive data; (6) description of data breach policies implemented by the cloud service provider including relevant documentation suitable to demonstrate compliance with legal requirements; (7) clear description of geographical location where personal data is stored or processed, for purposes of implementing appropriate cross-border transfer mechanisms; and (8) time period necessary for a cloud service provider to respond to access, rectification, erasure, blocking, or objection requests by data subjects.

(c) Contract Issues

In the majority of cloud computing services, the client is the data controller and the cloud provider is the data processor. However, in certain scenarios (in particular Platform as a Service (PaaS) and Software as a Service (SaaS) in public computing models), the client and the cloud provider may be joint controllers. Under EU guidance, the responsibilities of joint controllers must be very clearly set out in the contract to avoid any “dilution” of legal responsibility.

The contract with the cloud services provider needs to set out clearly the roles and responsibilities of the parties. Unlike many outsourcing arrangements, cloud service contracts usually do not distinguish between personal information and other types of data. These contracts may still include at least basic data protection concepts, even if they are not expressly identified as such. At a minimum, companies will want to look for provisions preventing the provider from using the information for its own purposes, restricting the provider from sharing the information except in narrowly specified cases, and confirming appropriate data security and breach notification measures. Various European data protection authorities have underscored that access to cloud data by public authorities must comply with national data protection law and that the contract should require notification of any such requests unless prohibited under criminal law and should prohibit any non-mandatory sharing. Given the difficulty of negotiating special arrangements with cloud providers, it is important to select a cloud offering that is appropriately tailored to the nature of the data and the related legal obligations. It is likely that as cloud computing matures, more offerings tailored to specific business requirements, including compliance with privacy and similar laws, will be made available to companies.

5. CONCLUDING THOUGHTS

While cloud computing can substantially improve the efficiency of IT solutions, particularly for small and medium-sized businesses, the specific offerings need to be examined closely. There is no “one-size-fits-all” solution to cloud computing, especially for companies operating in highly regulated sectors or internationally. By understanding their legal compliance obligations, companies can make informed decisions in selecting cloud computing services or suites of services that best meet their needs.

Client Alert

The original version of this article was first published by ALM Media Properties LLC in Corporate Counselor and is reprinted with permission.

For additional information about global privacy and data security developments, please visit the [Morrison & Foerster Privacy Library](#).

About Morrison & Foerster:

We are Morrison & Foerster — a global firm of exceptional credentials. Our clients include some of the largest financial institutions, investment banks, Fortune 100, technology and life science companies. We've been included on *The American Lawyer's A-List* for 11 straight years, and *Fortune* named us one of the "100 Best Companies to Work For." Our lawyers are committed to achieving innovative and business-minded results for our clients, while preserving the differences that make us stronger. This is MoFo. Visit us at www.mofo.com.

Morrison & Foerster has a world-class privacy and data security practice that is cross-disciplinary and spans our global offices. With more than 60 lawyers actively counseling, litigating, and representing clients before regulators around the world on privacy and security of information issues, we have been recognized by *Chambers* and *Legal 500* as having one of the best domestic and global practices in this area.

For more information about our people and services and the resources we offer such as our treatise setting out the U.S. and international legal landscape related to workplace privacy and data security, "[Global Employee Privacy and Data Security Law](#)," or our free online Privacy Library, please visit: <http://www.mofo.com/privacy--data-security-services/> and follow us on Twitter [@MoFoPrivacy](#).

Because of the generality of this update, the information provided herein may not be applicable in all situations and should not be acted upon without specific legal advice based on particular situations. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.