

Client Alert

August 9, 2016

FDIC Releases Proposed Third-Party Lending Guidance

By **Obrea O. Poindexter, Donald C. Lampe, and Amanda J. Mollo**

On July 29, 2016, the board of directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) released a proposal regarding third-party lending guidance (“Proposed Guidance”) as part of a package of materials designed to “improve the transparency and clarity of the FDIC’s supervisory policies and practices.” The Proposed Guidance elaborates on previously issued agency guidance on managing third-party risks¹ and, if finalized, could apply to all FDIC-supervised institutions that engage in third-party lending programs.

The Proposed Guidance affirms that an institution’s board of directors and senior management are responsible for managing, identifying and controlling the risks associated with lending activities conducted through third parties, and the FDIC will evaluate third-party lending activities conducted on behalf of a financial institution as though the institution itself had performed those activities.

The Financial Institution Letter accompanying the Proposed Guidance states that the guidance is “intended to provide FDIC-supervised financial institutions and examiners with clear expectations” with respect to the FDIC’s evaluation of the institutions’ management and assessment of third-party lending arrangements and associated risks. Accordingly, the Proposed Guidance describes the agency’s expectations for the maintenance of a risk-management program. After outlining the risks that may be associated with third-party lending, the Proposed Guidance sets forth elements of a third-party lending risk-management program that can mitigate these risks: strategic planning, the establishment of policies, risk assessments to evaluate whether to enter into a relationship with a third-party lender, due diligence and ongoing oversight of third parties once a relationship has been established, and the structuring and review of contracts with third-party lenders to clearly establish the rights and responsibilities of the financial institution and the third party. A financial institution’s third-party lending risk-management program and policies “should be commensurate with the significance, complexity, risk profile, transaction volume, and number of third-party lending relationships.”

The Proposed Guidance also outlines supervisory considerations and examination procedures related to third-party lending. These considerations include:

- Credit underwriting and administration;
- Capital adequacy;
- Liquidity;
- Profitability;

¹ Guidance for Managing Third-Party Risk (Financial Institution Letter (FIL) 44-2008, June 6, 2008), <https://www.fdic.gov/news/news/financial/2008/fil08044.html>.

Client Alert

- Accounting and allowance of loan and lease losses;
- Consumer compliance;
- Bank Secrecy Act and anti-money laundering;
- Safeguarding customer information; and
- Information technology.

With respect to examination procedures, the Proposed Guidance provides that institutions engaging in “significant lending activities through third parties” would receive “increased supervisory attention, including a 12-month examination cycle, concurrent risk management and consumer protection examinations, offsite monitoring, and possible review of third parties.” An institution could also see increased frequency of examination activities if the FDIC determines the institution’s program has material weaknesses or an increase in origination volume or number of arrangements with third-party lenders.

On August 4, 2016, the FDIC extended the comment period for the Proposed Guidance by 45 days in response to requests from “interested parties.” Comments on the Proposed Guidance are now due on October 27, 2016.

Contact:

Obrea O. Poindexter
(202) 887-8741
opoindexter@mofo.com

Donald C. Lampe
(202) 887-1524
dlampe@mofo.com

Amanda J. Mollo
(202) 778-1609
amolloy@mofo.com

Client Alert

Financial Services Team

California

Michael J. Agolia	(415) 268-6057
Alexis A. Amezcua	(415) 268-6557
Elizabeth Balassone	(415) 268-7585
Roland E. Brandel	(415) 268-7093
Sarah Nicole Davis	(415) 268-7478
Henry M. Fields	(213) 892-5275
Joseph Gabai	(213) 892-5284
Angela E. Kleine	(415) 268-6214
Jim McCabe	(415) 268-7011
James R. McGuire	(415) 268-7013
Mark David McPherson	(212) 468-8263
Ben Patterson	(415) 268-6818
Sylvia Rivera	(213) 892-5734
Nicholas Alan Roethlisberger	(415) 268-7534
Grant C. Schrader	(415) 268-6635
William L. Stern	(415) 268-7637
Nancy R. Thomas	(213) 892-5561
Lauren Lynn Wroblewski	(415) 268-6458

New York

James M. Bergin	(212) 468-8033
Tiffani B. Figueroa	(212) 336-4360
David J. Fioccola	(212) 336-4069
Marc-Alain Galeazzi	(212) 336-4153
Adam J. Hunt	(212) 336-4341
Jessica Kaufman	(212) 336-4257
Mark P. Ladner	(212) 468-8035
Jiang Liu	(212) 468-8008
David H. Medlar	(212) 336-4302
Barbara R. Mendelson	(212) 468-8118
Michael B. Miller	(212) 468-8009
Judy Man Ni Mok	(212) 336-4073
Jeffrey K. Rosenberg	(212) 336-4130
Mark R. Sobin	(212) 336-4222
Joan P. Warrington	(212) 506-7307

Washington, D.C.

Leonard N. Chanin	(202) 887-8790
Rick Fischer	(202) 887-1566
Adam J. Fleisher	(202) 887-8781
Natalie A. Fleming Nolen	(202) 887-1551
Calvin D. Funk*	(202) 887-6930
Julian E. Hammar	(202) 887-1679
Oliver I. Ireland	(202) 778-1614
Steven M. Kaufmann	(202) 887-8794

Washington, D.C. (continued)

Donald C. Lampe	(202) 887-1524
Jeremy R. Mandell	(202) 887-1505
Amanda J. Mollo	(202) 778-1609
Obrea O. Poindexter	(202) 887-8741
Ryan J. Richardson	(202) 887-8761
Sean Ruff	(202) 887-1530
Trevor R. Salter	(202) 887-1527
Nathan D. Taylor	(202) 778-1644

Client Alert

About Morrison & Foerster:

We are Morrison & Foerster—a global firm of exceptional credentials. Our clients include some of the largest financial institutions, investment banks, Fortune 100, technology and life science companies. We've been included on *The American Lawyer's* A-List for 13 straight years, and *Fortune* named us one of the “100 Best Companies to Work For.” Our lawyers are committed to achieving innovative and business-minded results for our clients, while preserving the differences that make us stronger. This is MoFo. Visit us at www.mofo.com.

Because of the generality of this update, the information provided herein may not be applicable in all situations and should not be acted upon without specific legal advice based on particular situations. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.